

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,531	02/20/2004	Hyo G. Lee	10863-1	3239
7590 09/21/2006			EXAMINER	
National IP Rights Center, LLC			KUMAR, PREETI	
Suite 400 550 Township Line Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Blue Bell, PA 19422			1751	
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/782,531	LEE, HYO G.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Preeti Kumar	1751
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20		
·—	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	·	•
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyon rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No	y Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/782,531 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Non-Final Rejection

- 1. Claims 1-5 are pending.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5 are independent.

# Claim Objections

3. Claims 3-4 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 3-4 are a duplicate of claims 1-2. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono et al. (US 4,917,920) in view of Taniguchi et al. (JP 404082955 A).

Ono et al. teach in example 1, ten kinds of dyed woven fabrics, knitted goods and apparel were soaked (printed or patted) in the resulting emulsion containing the above

Art Unit: 1751

microcapsules and centrifuged to dewater, followed by drying and heating in wet at 120-about 130 C. for 1 minute. The woven fabrics, knitted goods and apparels to which the microcapsules containing the perfume were adhered were forwarded to drying, finishing and setting steps to prepare fragrant woven fabrics, knitted goods and apparel, according to a conventional process. See col.10, example 1.

Ono et al. do not specifically teach fitting the socks over feet molds and steaming the socks to take shape.

Taniguchi et al. teach a process that reduces labor by setting socks to plural foot-moldels attached to a unidirectionally movable endless track, carrying out respective processes such as examination, dyeing, setting, drying and insertion of a ground paper in order and eliminating unnecessary detachment and conveyance operations. See abstract, (English translation).

Taniguchi et al. illustrate socks set to foot-moldels and are subsequently dyed and water washed in a dyeing unit by heating a dye solution supplied from a dye tank and a water source through a heat exchanger and then introducing the solution into a dyeing chamber, then subjected to steam heating set using a following setting unit and dried using a drying unit. See the abstract, (English Translstion)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Ono et al. with the claimed steps of fitting the socks over feet molds and steaming the socks to take shape as taught by Taniguchi et al., with a reasonable expectation of success, because the teachings of Taniguchi et al. illustrate the beneficial utility of foot molds in a process of making socks and Ono et al. suggest the

Application/Control Number: 10/782,531 Page 4

Art Unit: 1751

manufacture of dyed woven fabrics, knitted goods and apparel and soaking the woven fabrics, knitted goods and apparels with microcapsules containing the perfume with subsequent drying, finishing and setting steps to prepare fragrant woven fabrics, knitted goods and apparel, according to a conventional process in general. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Ono et al. with that of Taniguchi et al. since both reference teach the analogous art of manufacturing woven socks.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Note that for purposes of compact prosecution, Examiner has provided Applicant with the English translation of the abstract of Taniguchi et al. (JP 404082955 A). The full translation of the JP publication will be provided to the Applicants as soon as it is made available to the Examiner.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Preeti Kumar whose telephone number is 571-272-1320. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Mc Ginty can be reached on 571-272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,531 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Preeti Kumar PK. Examiner Art Unit 1751

PK

Douglas MCGINTY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1251